

MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY

Compliance inspection report form **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

520 Lafayette Road North

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 21.0115.000	Reason for Inspection	Lake Survey
Local regulatory authority info: Becker County ESO		
Property address: 24975 Washington Dr Osage MN 56570		
Owner/representative: Michael Clark & Thomas Slover		Owner's phone:
Brief system description: 1000/500 precast 2 - compartment ta	ink, to 120 Lnft of SB-2 grave	elless pipe drainfield.
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 7/12/2022		
Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant - Noti	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect grouse discontinued within the t	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its us	health and safety (ITPHS) must be se discontinued within ten months of receipt
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn, R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance,	of this notice or within a shor under section 145A.04 subdi	ter period if required by local ordinance or ivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicat	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1		health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	to protect groundwater	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) - <i>Imminent threat to</i> p	public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance components)	ent #3) <i>– Failing to protect gi</i>	roundwater
☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.		
Soil separation (Compliance component #5) - Failin		
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor	npliance component #4) - No	oncompliant Alocal ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	•	
This system was installed on 5/23/96, with an estimated of	design flow of 200 gpd. Note	e: This system was inspected for
compliance in 2012, with no issues reported. See addition	onal notes on pages 2-4.	e: This system was inspected for
	/	' ⁴ 2022 /
	h	30NING
		$\leq OM/M_{\odot}$
Califfication		WG /
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknow inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance st nn conditions during system co	atus of this system. No determination of nstruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my l	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Winterberger Inspections	1 1	Certification number: 3433
Inspector signature:		License number: 1565
(This document has been electronically sign	ned)	Phone: 218-255-1722
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
☑ Soil observation logs ☑ System/As-Built ☐ Locally re	equired forms	prity Assessment
Other information (list):	,	
· · · · · ·		

ess Name: Winterberger Inspections		Date: 3	7/12/2022
pact on public health – Co	ompliance com	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation):
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☑ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			
Describe verification methods and	results:	•	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	
	component #2		
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,	component #2	of 5 Attached supporting documentation ⊠ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	
Compliance criteria:	T	Attached supporting documentation	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	T	Attached supporting documentation ☑ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector	Kountry Septic
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:	Kountry Septic ss: 2517
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine	Kountry <u>Septic</u> ss: <u>2517</u> <u>7/12/202</u>
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance:	Kountry <u>Septic</u> ss: <u>2517</u> <u>7/12/202</u> ch)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached)	Kountry Septic ss: 2517 7/12/202 ch) n three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Atta Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess	Kountry <u>Septic</u> ss: 2517 <u>7/12/202</u> ch) n three year
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance busine Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attached) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assess Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))	Kountry Septic ss: 2517 7/12/202 ch) n three year ment compli

https://www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • Use your preferred relay service • Ava wq-wwists4-31b • 4/28/2021

Page 2 of 4

	Property Address: 24975 Washington Dr Osage MN 56570	
-	Business Name: Winterberger Inspections	Date: 7/12/2022
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety *Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	/? ☐ Yes* No ☐ Unknown
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
,	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
<u>4.</u>	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #4 of	5 Not applicable
	Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required
	Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If	•
	BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	yes , a below is required
•		
	If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	
	Compliance criteria:	
	a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	
	b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No	
	Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached supporting documentation: Operating permit (Attach)	

usiness Name: Winterberger Inspections		Date:	7/12/2022
Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5	of 5	
Date of installation 5/23/1996 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria (select one):	⊠Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation: ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the ☐ Two previous verifications of required.	•
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:	Yes No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			
5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo	35in. > 75in. 40in. 36in. owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) Drainfield meets the designed vertical	☐ Yes ☐ No*		
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Soil Horizons Depth (inches)	Texture	Color	Structure	Consistence
0-5	fine sandy loam	10yr 3/2	granulär	friable
534	med sand	10yr 4/6	single grain	loose
34-75	med sand	10yr 5/6	șingle grain	loose

Boring 2 Elevation Soil Horizons Depth Inches)	Texture	Color	Structure	Consistence
	<u></u>			

Figure 1 Fig	Texture	Color	Structure	Consistence

inches)	Texture	Color	Structure	Consistence
•				,

ţ

Site Evaluation Map	2012.	21.0115
STROIGHT LAKE		
		N
House louises Comes	30'	8
· E WELL		
Setbacks: Tank to house - 37ft To well - 50ft+ Drainfield to house - 52ft To well - 50ft+		
List any compliance issues: 21.01150.00 No issues. I would recommend instate for ease of tank maintenance.	dling tank manhole riser	s on both compartmen
Mapping Checklist		
Map scale: N/A x indicate north x show slope	% direction	investament tokenik
x existing and/or proposed system(s) electric x all replacement area gas pre unsultable area(s) x str	icks liding water wells within 1001 essure pipe ater suction pipe eams, lakes, rivers odway and fringe	ît

*****	inner weithead zone binpite water subbity weits	b	orings enchmark ere tests oriz referen	floodway and fringe
I he	reby certify this work has been	completed		ll applicable ordinances, rules and laws;
	1565 (lice	nse#) <u>2</u>	218-573-2275	(plione number)